



Diagnostic dilemmas in helminthology: what tools to use and when?

Bergquist, Robert; Johansen, Maria Vang; Utzinger, Jürg

Published in:
Trends in Parasitology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.pt.2009.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2009.01.004)

Publication date:
2009

Document version
Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (APA):
Bergquist, R., Johansen, M. V., & Utzinger, J. (2009). Diagnostic dilemmas in helminthology: what tools to use and when? *Trends in Parasitology*, 25(4), 151-156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2009.01.004>

DOI: 10.1016/j.pt.2009.01.004

Diagnostic dilemmas in helminthology: what tools to use and when?

Robert Bergquist¹, Maria Vang Johansen² and Jürg Utzinger³

¹ Ingerod, Brastad, Sweden

² DBL-Centre for Health Research and Development, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

³ Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Swiss Tropical Institute, Basel, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Bergquist, R. (robert.bergquist@yahoo.se)

Abstract

Available data regarding the distribution, prevalence and severity of various diseases are based on the performance and operational characteristics of the diagnostic techniques applied; a fact particularly apparent in the study of helminth infections. An important lesson learnt from the efforts to rein in dracunculiasis, lymphatic filariasis and schistosomiasis is that the diagnostic approach changes as further progress is made towards control and ultimate elimination of the disease. This insight prompted the opinion presented here, which highlights diagnostic dilemmas in helminthology related to the stage of control achieved, and sets out some research needs.

Key words: helminth infections, diagnosis, control, elimination, microscopy, serology, specificity, sensitivity, prevalence

27 **Choice of diagnostic assays**

28 New and ambitious goals have been set for the control of infectious diseases in the
29 developing world by governments and international donor agencies in collaboration with the
30 World Health Organization (WHO). Significant progress has been made but there is a
31 tendency to emphasize mainly drug treatment, and also vaccines, while the importance of
32 quality-assured diagnostic tests is often neglected [1]. Yet, the more successful a control
33 programme becomes, the more critical is the need for an accurate assessment of the
34 epidemiological situation.

35 The helminthic diseases require diverse diagnostic approaches. For example, while
36 serology constitute a valuable adjunct to the clinical diagnosis of echinococcosis,
37 trichinellosis and toxocariasis [2], the local elimination of various helminth infections such as
38 dracunculiasis [3], lymphatic filariasis [4,5] and schistosomiasis [4,6,7] highlights the need
39 for adjusting the diagnostic capability to the different stages of active control.

40 The focus of this opinion article is on schistosomiasis and the main soil-transmitted
41 helminthiases (ascariasis, hookworm disease and trichuriasis) because these diseases are still
42 widespread [8-10], while activities to control them have gained momentum due to the World
43 Health Assembly resolution WHA54.19 of May 2001, which urges member states to regularly
44 treat at least 75% of school-aged children and other high-risk groups with praziquantel against
45 schistosomiasis and albendazole/mebendazole against soil-transmitted helminthiases [11].
46 The food-borne trematodiases (e.g. clonorchiasis, fascioliasis, opisthorchiasis and
47 paragonimiasis) are also discussed as this group comprises serious, yet truly neglected
48 tropical diseases which, in addition to their veterinary significance, constitute an emerging
49 public health threat in many parts of the world [12-16].

The choice of a diagnostic assay should be governed by the objective of the activity. Indeed, the proper diagnosis of an infection is paramount for all aspects of its prevention and control. Moreover, the evaluation of efficacy and community-effectiveness of interventions, verification of local disease elimination and early detection of resurgence strongly depends on reliable diagnostic tools [17,18]. However, while the implementation of a complex diagnostic approach based on the highest possible combination of sensitivity and specificity can be defended from a research point of view, even when time-consuming and expensive, an approach useful in practise is almost always a compromise between quality and quantity as the techniques needed for large-scale application must be based on cost-effectiveness, i.e. time and resources required per test, simplicity and robustness. The dilemma represented by this need for compromise is related to the nature of the infection and the stage of control achieved.

The heart of the matter is the required, continuous adaption of the diagnostic focus to the state of control, taking into account the prevailing constraints in terms of available resources. Fig. 1 highlights this by showing the various stages of a hypothetical helminth control programme juxtaposed with the type of diagnostic tools that must be employed to reach the set goals.

From morbidity to post-transmission

In 2002, Engels and colleagues [19] outlined the control of schistosomiasis as a series of consecutive steps moving from morbidity control to elimination of infection as a public health threat. This framework has here been expanded to include also the soil-transmitted helminthiasis and the food-borne trematodiasis. Underlying reasons are the considerable geographical overlap of these infections, the similar tools for diagnosis used at the onset of control activities (e.g. microscopy of stool samples) and the similar public health measures

applied (e.g. large-scale administration of anthelmintic drugs when morbidity reduction is the prime objective) [20,21]. Post-transmission control is not depicted in the figure as this stage is limited to a relatively small number of patients but it should be realized that it will require interventions for a prolonged period of time, particularly in the case of schistosomiasis and the food-borne trematodiasis [22]. The idea to collate this information (Fig. 2) is in line with the growing emphasis on integrating the control of the so-called neglected tropical diseases [4,10,23-25]. Currently used techniques are depicted in the boxes to the right in the figure, while the entries to the left are still tentative.

Diagnostic dilemmas

Morbidity control – questionnaires and standard diagnostic tests

When control of schistosomiasis was first attempted, eradication was the pronounced goal, at least by some eminent scientists at the time [26]. However, the failure of this approach was not due to a diagnostic dilemma but depended on the incongruence between objective and tools. The problem was circumvented by the advent of praziquantel which permitted **a change of tactics**, i.e. substituting morbidity for transmission as the focus of control in highly endemic areas [27]. This strategy, relying on mass administration of safe and efficacious drugs donated or provided at very low cost [4,7,10], is still recommended in settings where the proportion of those infected surpasses a given threshold (e.g. >50% of school-aged children infected) [11]. With this diagnostic scenario, cost and simplicity are more important than sensitivity leading to the utilization of community-based questionnaires with the results followed-up by standard parasitological examinations of excreta (e.g. stool, urine and sputum). That these results are not fully reliable with respect to prevalence and give even less information on the level of transmission must be weighed against the importance of rapidly identifying those in most need of treatment.

100 Interviewing schoolchildren about blood in the urine has proved generally accurate and
101 cost-effective for the rapid identification of high-risk communities of urinary schistosomiasis
102 [28]. While a similar approach, focused on dietary habits (e.g. consumption of raw or
103 undercooked fish), could be a quick way to identify populations at risk of clonorchiasis [29],
104 geographical and ethnographical differences present an unforeseen dilemma. For example,
105 whereas communities suffering from opisthorchiasis in Thailand were able to perform self-
106 diagnosis leading to treatment-seeking practices, this was not the case in Laos where
107 opisthorchiasis-specific symptoms were vague [30] leading to the conclusion that the
108 questionnaire approach must be locally assessed before large-scale implementation. Thus,
109 parasitological diagnostic tools are still needed for assessing cure after treatment and, in the
110 longer perspective, dependable disease surveillance.

111 Faecal smears and Kato-Katz thick smears are widely used direct methods for diagnosing
112 intestinal schistosomiasis, the common soil-transmitted helminthiasis and most food-borne
113 trematode infections [31,32]. While a strong bias towards false negatives would falsify the
114 outcome, even a considerable proportion of false positives would not compromise the cost-
115 effectiveness of this approach since the extra drug expense is marginal in today's prices (e.g.
116 US\$ 0.10-0.20 for treating a school-aged child with praziquantel) [33,34]. The danger of a
117 misinterpretation of the epidemiological situation, however, is clearly present and this risk
118 increases as the numbers and infection intensities are brought down.

119 Some further diagnostic dilemmas with reference to stool examinations at the stage of
120 morbidity control are worth mentioning. First, because infections with multiple species are the
121 norm rather than the exception, there is a need for well-trained laboratory technicians and
122 quality control measures to ascertain accurate, species-specific helminth diagnosis. This issue
123 is particularly relevant in settings characterized by a high diversity of food-borne trematodes
124 [35]. Second, while Kato-Katz thick smears should be read shortly after slide preparation

(preferably within 30 min) for hookworm eggs which would otherwise have disintegrated, longer clearing times (sometimes several hours) are warranted for the diagnosis of *Schistosoma mansoni*, as well as *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura* and food-borne trematodes. Third, the time required for collecting the stool specimens in the field, transferring them to the laboratory, and preparing the slides for examination can result in significant underestimates of hookworm burdens [36]. As a compromise, in settings where soil-transmitted helminths co-exist with either *S. mansoni* or food-borne trematodes, Kato-Katz thick smears are often examined 30-60 min after preparation. Fourth, the Kato-Katz technique is not suitable for the diagnosis of *Strongyloides stercoralis*, which might, at least partially, explain why this is the most neglected of the soil-transmitted helminths [8,20,37].

Transmission control – egg detection subsequently replaced

The need for accurate and precise diagnostic tests increases strongly when the overall performance decreases towards the low-prevalence end. The standard Kato-Katz technique is a good example of how this diagnostic process works. Although Kato-Katz is a sound approach for highly endemic areas [38,39], it is inadequate in situations characterized by a low infection intensities and few infected people [6,40]. Hence, in the latter case (which is typical for the transmission control stage), the positive predictive value of the test decreases, which above all calls for highly sensitive assays but of course also with acceptable specificity. In this situation, it is obvious that further progress in controlling a disease – and ultimately local elimination – is jeopardized. FLOTAC[®], a new technique for stool examination so far mainly used in the veterinary field, holds promise in relation to this dilemma. Recent studies found that a single FLOTAC[®] examination is more sensitive than multiple Kato-Katz thick smears for hookworm diagnosis [41], as well as *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura* diagnosis

[42]. In addition, multiple stool (or urine or sputum) examinations and the use of different methods simultaneously should be considered [37,43-45].

Thus, once morbidity is under control, further progress demands more sensitive techniques and when the transmission and surveillance control stages have been reached, cost might increase as control at these levels not only must consider different kinds of host (example: schistosomiasis; see Table 1), but also requires even more sensitive approaches. At this stage, antibody-detection is clearly the preferential, primary approach for monitoring the human population [39]. Of note, in the Chinese national schistosomiasis control programme, serology is routinely implemented, usually followed by stool examination of sero-positive individuals [7]. However, there are many challenges to this course of action. First, antibody-detection is not quantitative. Second, it fails to distinguish between current and cured infection, although progress has been made to remedy this issue [39]. Third, the high degree of cross-reactivity with clonorchiasis and paragonimiasis is a problem of particular importance in China and the countries south of its border where these food-borne trematode infections are highly endemic [12,13,15]. In areas where antibody-detection is challenged by significant cross-reactivity, molecular tools should be contemplated in spite of their higher cost and requirement for speciality laboratories. For example, in Brazil, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) applied to human faeces found a prevalence of 38.1% in a study of 194 individuals from a *S. mansoni*-endemic area, while triplicate Kato-Katz thick smears achieved only 30.9% prevalence in the same samples [46]. PCR approaches have also been successfully developed for a number of other helminths, including food-borne trematodes [47]. Fourth, integration of this type of serology into national control programmes requires access to affordable, high-quality reagents or kits, as well as successful methodological standardization and definition of assay performance. These points might explain why only few countries have adopted antibody-detection as a key strategy in helminth diagnosis. This notwithstanding, it is

likely that antibody-detection will gain in importance as further progress is being made with controlling helminth infections [39].

Post-transmission control – imaging techniques

Recent progress in the use of imaging techniques for helminthic diseases [13,48,49] has shown that pathology remains a problem long after the infection has been successfully treated. Based on experience from Japan, surgical and other interventions may increasingly be needed on an individual basis in settings where transmission of trematode infections has been interrupted. This is currently a totally neglected problem which needs to be budgeted for as a final outlay when elimination is in sight.

Research needs

Intensity of infection is a key determinant of morbidity, but the relation between egg excretion in stool (or urine or sputum) and severity of disease is complex [11]. Attempts have been made to classify helminth infection intensities based on egg counts (Table 2). However, these classifications are educated guesses at best and new research is warranted to put forth new or refined infection intensity classes for the helminthiases covered here. Indeed, morbidity cannot be assessed without clinical examination and sound imaging techniques [13,48,49]. Nevertheless, there is a possibility that laboratory testing could be a useful adjunct. For example, a quantitative assay has been developed for schistosomiasis-related bladder lesions, which relies on the excretion of an eosinophilic cationic protein (ECP) in the urine [50] and this approach may be possible to use also for faecal investigations [51].

While stool examination provides an acceptable measure of the stage of infection in highly endemic areas, there was originally hope that antigen-detection would do the same at the other end of the control spectrum, i.e. in areas of very low endemicity. However, this has

not been validated as antigen detection techniques are only marginally more sensitive than stool examination. Although serology and microscopy are complementary, it cannot be emphasized enough that the integration of serological methods into national control programmes requires development of accurate, methodologically standardized and easily applicable assays for the detection of both specific antibodies and antigens.

Although, most countries are still far from elimination with respect to the helminthiasis discussed here, it makes sense to already now consider what is required to permit an area or entire country to be formally declared as eliminated from an infection. Would it suffice to present a certain number of negative results, over a specified time period, based on an absolutely specific, highly sensitive assay, such as PCR, in conjunction with negative serology?

The issues discussed here are not the only ones that can introduce failings as large-scale helminth control programmes continue to advance but the identification of the main diagnostic obstacles and dilemmas will facilitate the finding of logical, relatively straightforward ways to address them. The opinions expressed here are intended as an incitement to further enlargement of the scope of helminth diagnosis leading to multi-country studies aimed at standardizing protocols for rigorous validation of different diagnostic assays so that they can be utilized with high levels of confidence at different stages of control interventions.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr Lester Chitsulo for initiating an informal consultation at WHO to discuss some of the diagnostic dilemmas in helminthology expressed here. J. Utzinger acknowledges financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (project no. PPOOB--102883; PPOOB--119129).

224

225 **References**

- 226 1 Ridley, R.G. (2006) Diagnostics take centre stage. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4 (9 Suppl.), S1
- 227 2 Gottstein, B. and Piarroux, R. (2008) Current trends in tissue-affecting helminths.
- 228 *Parasite* 15, 291-298
- 229 3 Ruiz-Tiben, E. and Hopkins, D.R. (2006) Dracunculiasis (Guinea worm disease)
- 230 eradication. *Adv Parasitol* 61, 275-309
- 231 4 Lammie, P.J. *et al.* (2006) A blueprint for success: integration of neglected tropical
- 232 disease control programmes. *Trends Parasitol* 22, 313-321
- 233 5 Ottesen, E.A. (2006) Lymphatic filariasis: treatment, control and elimination. *Adv*
- 234 *Parasitol* 61, 395-441
- 235 6 Zhu, Y.C. (2005) Immunodiagnosis and its role in schistosomiasis control in China: a
- 236 review. *Acta Trop* 96, 130-136
- 237 7 Fenwick, A. *et al.* (2006) Implementation of human schistosomiasis control: challenges
- 238 and prospects. *Adv Parasitol* 61, 567-622
- 239 8 Bethony, J. *et al.* (2006) Soil-transmitted helminth infections: ascariasis, trichuriasis, and
- 240 hookworm. *Lancet* 367, 1521-1532
- 241 9 Steinmann, P. *et al.* (2006) Schistosomiasis and water resources development: systematic
- 242 review, meta-analysis, and estimates of people at risk. *Lancet Infect Dis* 6, 411-425
- 243 10 Hotez, P.J. *et al.* (2008) Helminth infections: the great neglected tropical diseases. *J Clin*
- 244 *Invest* 118, 1311-1321
- 245 11 WHO (2002) Prevention and control of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted
- 246 helminthiasis: report of a WHO expert committee. *WHO Tech Rep Ser* No. 912
- 247 12 Keiser, J. and Utzinger, J. (2005) Emerging foodborne trematodiasis. *Emerg Infect Dis*
- 248 11, 1507-1514

249 13 Lun, Z.R. *et al.* (2005) Clonorchiasis: a key foodborne zoonosis in China. *Lancet Infect*
250 *Dis* 5, 31-41

251 14 McManus, D.P. and Dalton, J.P. (2006) Vaccines against the zoonotic trematodes
252 *Schistosoma japonicum*, *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica*. *Parasitology* 133
253 (Suppl.), S43-61

254 15 Liu, Q. *et al.* (2008) Paragonimiasis: an important food-borne zoonosis in China. *Trends*
255 *Parasitol* 24, 318-323

256 16 Sripa, B. (2008) Concerted action is needed to tackle liver fluke infections in Asia. *PLoS*
257 *Negl Trop Dis* 2, e232

258 17 The TDR Diagnostics Evaluation Expert Panel (2006) Evaluation of diagnostic tests for
259 infectious diseases: general principles. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4 (9 Suppl.), S21-31

260 18 Peeling, R.W. *et al.* (2006) A guide for diagnostic evaluations. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4 (9
261 Suppl.), S2-6

262 19 Engels, D. *et al.* (2002) The global epidemiological situation of schistosomiasis and new
263 approaches to control and research. *Acta Trop* 82, 139-146

264 20 Engels, D. and Savioli, L. (2006) Reconsidering the underestimated burden caused by
265 neglected tropical diseases. *Trends Parasitol* 22, 363-366

266 21 Brooker, S. and Utzinger, J. (2007) Integrated disease mapping in a polyparasitic world.
267 *Geospat Health* 1, 141-146

268 22 Giboda, M. and Bergquist, N.R. (2000) Post-transmission schistosomiasis: a new agenda.
269 *Acta Trop* 77, 3-7

270 23 Brady, M.A. *et al.* (2006) Projected benefits from integrating NTD programs in sub-
271 Saharan Africa. *Trends Parasitol* 22, 285-291

272 24 Fenwick, A. (2006) New initiatives against Africa's worms. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*
273 100, 200-207

274 25 Kolaczinski, J.H. *et al.* (2007) Neglected tropical diseases in Uganda: the prospect and
275 challenge of integrated control. *Trends Parasitol* 23, 485-493

276 26 Jordan, P. (2000) From Katayama to the Dakhla Oasis: the beginning of epidemiology
277 and control of bilharzia. *Acta Trop* 77, 9-40

278 27 WHO (1985) The control of schistosomiasis: report of a WHO expert committee. *WHO*
279 *Tech Rep Ser* No. 728

280 28 Lengeler, C. *et al.* (2002) Questionnaires for rapid screening of schistosomiasis in sub-
281 Saharan Africa. *Bull World Health Organ* 80, 235-242

282 29 Dang, T.C. *et al.* (2008) Prevalence, intensity and risk factors for clonorchiasis and
283 possible use of questionnaires to detect individuals at risk in northern Vietnam. *Trans R*
284 *Soc Trop Med Hyg* 102, 1263-1268

285 30 Strandgaard, H. *et al.* (2008) Local perceptions and practices in regard to opisthorchiasis
286 in two villages in Lao PDR. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 39, 19-26

287 31 Katz, N. *et al.* (1972) A simple device for quantitative stool thick-smear technique in
288 schistosomiasis mansoni. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 14, 397-400.

289 32 Rim, H.J. *et al.* (2003) Prevalence of intestinal parasite infections on a national scale
290 among primary schoolchildren in Laos. *Parasitol Res* 91, 267-272

291 33 Guyatt, H. (1998) Different approaches to modelling the cost-effectiveness of
292 schistosomiasis control. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 93 (Suppl. 1), 75-84

293 34 Doenhoff, M.J. *et al.* (2008) Praziquantel: mechanisms of action, resistance and new
294 derivatives for schistosomiasis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 21, 659-667

295 35 Trung Dung, D. *et al.* (2007) Fishborne zoonotic intestinal trematodes, Vietnam. *Emerg*
296 *Infect Dis* 13, 1828-1833

297 36 Dacombe, R.J. *et al.* (2007) Time delays between patient and laboratory selectively affect
298 accuracy of helminth diagnosis. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 101, 140-145

299 37 Steinmann, P. *et al.* (2007) Occurrence of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in Yunnan province,
300 China, and comparison of diagnostic methods. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 1, e75

301 38 Booth, M. *et al.* (2003) The influence of sampling effort and the performance of the
302 Kato-Katz technique in diagnosing *Schistosoma mansoni* and hookworm co-infections in
303 rural Côte d'Ivoire. *Parasitology* 127, 525-531

304 39 Doenhoff, M.J. *et al.* (2004) Specific and sensitive diagnosis of schistosome infection:
305 can it be done with antibodies? *Trends Parasitol* 20, 35-39

306 40 Enk, M.J. *et al.* (2008) A combined strategy to improve the control of *Schistosoma*
307 *mansoni* in areas of low prevalence in Brazil. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 78, 140-146

308 41 Utzinger, J. *et al.* (2008) FLOTAC: a new sensitive technique for the diagnosis of
309 hookworm infections in humans. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 102, 84-90

310 42 Knopp, S. *et al.* (2009). A single FLOTAC is more sensitive than triplicate Kato-Katz for
311 diagnosis of low-intensity soil-transmitted helminth infections. *Trans R Soc Trop Med*
312 *Hyg* 103, (in press).

313 43 de Vlas, S.J. and Gryseels, B. (1992) Underestimation of *Schistosoma mansoni*
314 prevalences. *Parasitol Today* 8, 274-277

315 44 Knopp, S. *et al.* (2008) Diagnosis of soil-transmitted helminths in the era of preventive
316 chemotherapy: effect of multiple stool sampling and use of different diagnostic
317 techniques. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2: e331

318 45 Steinmann, P. *et al.* (2008) Extensive multiparasitism in a village of Yunnan province,
319 People's Republic of China, revealed by a suite of diagnostic methods. *Am J Trop Med*
320 *Hyg* 78, 760-769

321 46 Eberl, M. *et al.* (2002) A novel and sensitive method to monitor helminth infections by
322 faecal sampling. *Acta Trop* 83, 183-187

323 47 Duenngai, K. *et al.* (2008) Improvement of PCR for detection of *Opisthorchis viverrini*
324 DNA in human stool samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 46, 366-368

325 48 Khandelwal, N. *et al.* (2008) Biliary parasites: diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. *Curr*
326 *Treat Options Gastroenterol* 11, 85-95

327 49 Lambertucci, J.R. *et al.* (2008) Imaging techniques in the evaluation of morbidity in
328 schistosomiasis mansoni. *Acta Trop* 108 (in press)

329 50 Leutscher, P.D.C. *et al.* (2000) Morbidity assessment in urinary schistosomiasis infection
330 through ultrasonography and measurement of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) in urine.
331 *Trop Med Int Health* 5, 88-93

332 51 Reimert, C.M. *et al.* (2008) Assessment of *Schistosoma mansoni* induced intestinal
333 inflammation by means of eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil protein X and
334 myeloperoxidase before and after treatment with praziquantel. *Acta Trop* 105, 253-259.

335 52 Sadun, E.H. (1955) Studies on *Opisthorchis viverrini* in Thailand. *Am J Hyg* 62, 81-115
336

337

338 **Glossary**

339

340 **Accuracy:** the percentage of correct results obtained by a test under evaluation compared with
341 the results of a reference or 'gold' standard test.

342 **Community effectiveness:** the ability of a particular intervention to alter the natural history
343 of a particular disease for the better, under actual conditions of practice and use.

344 **Cost-effective:** producing good results for the amount of money spent.

345 **Efficacy:** the ability of an intervention to produce the desired beneficial effect under ideal
346 circumstances (assessed by randomized controlled trials).

347 **Elimination:** reduction to zero of the incidence of a specific disease/infection caused by a
348 specific agent in a defined geographical area as a result of deliberate efforts.

349 **Eradication:** permanent reduction to zero of the worldwide incidence of infection caused by a
350 specific agent as a result of deliberate efforts.

351 **Precision:** the degree to which repeated measurements or calculations show the same or
352 similar results.

353 **Positive predictive value:** the probability that a positive result accurately indicates the
354 presence of infection.

355 **Sensitivity:** the proportion of actual positives which are correctly identified as such (i.e. the
356 percentage of sick people who are identified as having the condition).

357 **Specificity:** the proportion of negatives which are correctly identified (i.e. the percentage of
358 well people who are identified as not having the condition).

359

Table 1. Characteristics in the diagnostic process at different stages of helminth control programmes (exemplified by schistosomiasis)

Characteristics	Stage of helminth control programme				
	Morbidity	Prevalence	Transmission	Surveillance	Elimination
Target	• Human host	• Human host	• Human host • Reservoir host • Intermediate host	• Human host • Reservoir host • Intermediate host	• Human host • Reservoir host • Intermediate host
Diagnostic traits	• Simplicity • Low cost	• Sensitivity • Specificity	• High sensitivity • Specificity	• High sensitivity • Specificity	• High sensitivity • High specificity
Suggested approach	• Questionnaire • Microscopy	• Sensitive direct tests	• Antigen detection • Labelled antibody ^a	• Antibody detection	• PCR • Antibody detection
Strength and limitations	• Good indicator of general status • Neglect light infections	• Development of test system not completed	• Antigen detection not sufficiently sensitive • Vector test complicated	• Excellent sensitivity • Cross reactions and specificity problems • Specific titres remain high for long time	• Capable assays • Certified testing • System not yet available

^a applied to the intermediate host

Table 2. Classification of infection intensities of different helminths according to egg counts^a

Parasite investigated	Unit of measure	Infection intensity			Reference
		Light	Moderate	Heavy	
Schistosomes					
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	EPG	1-99	100-399	≥400	[11]
<i>Schistosoma haematobium</i>	Eggs/10ml urine	1-49	≥50	≥50	[11]
Soil-transmitted helminths					
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>	EPG	1-4999	5000-49999	≥50000	[11]
Hookworm	EPG	1-1999	2000-3999	≥4000	[11]
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	EPG	1-999	1000-9999	≥10000	[11]
Food-borne trematodes					
<i>Clonorchis sinensis</i>	EPG	tbd	tbd	tbd	–
<i>Fasciola hepatica</i>	EPG	tbd	tbd	tbd	–
<i>Fasciola gigantica</i>	EPG	tbd	tbd	tbd	–
<i>Opisthorchis viverrini</i>	EPG	1-999	1000-9999	≥10000	[52]
<i>Paragonimus</i> spp.	EPG	tbd	tbd	tbd	–


^a Of note, for most food-borne trematodes, infection intensity thresholds are still lacking

EPG, eggs per gram of stool; tbd, to be determined

Figures captions

Figure 1. Schematic picture illuminating how falling endemicity levels influence control focus and diagnostic needs.

Figure 2. Current and suggested use of available tools for the diagnosis of major helminth infections.



*less human behavioural risk and/or fewer infected intermediate hosts

** applies also to assays aimed at the intermediate host

Stage of control

Morbidity

Prevalence

Transmission

Surveillance

Schistosomiasis

Intestinal

Questionnaires, Kato-Katz, faecal smears

Kato-Katz, antibody detection

Antibody detection, direct*

Indirect**, (direct*)

Urinary

Questionnaires, reagent strips, urine filtration

Questionnaires, reagent strips, urine filtration

Soil-transmitted helminthiasis

Ascariasis
Hookworm
Trichuriasis

Faecal smears
Kato-Katz

Kato-Katz

Highly sensitive, direct*

Highly sensitive, direct*

Food-borne trematodiasis

Clonorchiasis

Faecal smears, Kato-Katz

Highly specific direct*

Fascioliasis

Faecal smears, Kato-Katz, antibody detection

Direct*, Indirect**

Opisthorchiasis

Faecal smears, Kato-Katz

Highly specific direct*

Paragonimiasis

Faecal smears, Kato-Katz

Highly specific direct*

To be determined

Indirect**, (direct*)

* Refers to tests used to demonstrate any parasite material in the host circulation, tissues or excreta (whole worms, parasite eggs circulating antigens) directly by microscopy, serology or PCR.

**Refers to results from the use of reagent strips, questionnaires, intradermal tests, clinical examination, imaging and antibody detection.